July 26, 2023, at 5:30 PM

## Attendance

The following members were present: Ray Leavitt, Victoria Noel Blake, Mark Guerrigue, Eliza Grant, Harrison Kanzler, Karen Umberger, David Weathers and Thomas Holmes.

Absent- S. Sands excused (arrived at 5:50)
Pledge of allegiance- those present and able stood for the pledge of allegiance.
T. Holmes- at the request of a commission we want to codify what constitutes the quorum of this board, it's in Roberts rules, we adopted Roberts rules, however Roberts rules says if there is any bylaws to the contrary, a quorum can be a majority of the voting members, anybody want to make a motion to make that the official rule of the commission?

## E. Grant motioned to make it an official rule of the commission that a quorum can be a majority of the voting members; seconded by D. Weathers.

Roll Call: R. Leavitt; Aye, V. Blake; Aye, M. Guerrigue; Aye, E. Grant; Aye, H. Kanzler; Aye, K. Umberger; Aye, D. Weathers; Aye, T. Holmes; Aye. Vote in favor 8-0.

## M. Guerrigue motioned to allow the Chair to speak freely without having to step down; seconded by H. Kanzler.

## Vote in favor 8-0

## 1. Natchez Greyes, NHMA presentation and Q\&A.

N. Greyes- I'm with the municipal association. I'm here to give you an overview of your options for charters. Some things to think about and a couple of ground rules that you need to know for serving any capacity in government. Which is basically the right to know law. So tonight, I'm going to give you an overview of the Charter Options. Law Is Applicable to all charters. This will allow a matter which of these options you choose, if you choose any, some decisions you might want to make. And get some key questions really that lead into decision making process. There are five different types of charters to choose from in addition to that, there's the city form of government, which I don't believe you're considering as well as what you currently have. Which is SB2. As well as traditional town meetings. So, there's about as many options as there are towns in the state of New Hampshire. Option one is pure town council, what I call city like you're basically doing everything a city would do, with one small exception. Except that you're still called the town. So, you elect representatives who serve on the council. That council makes
all the decisions for the government. There's no town meeting. You don't have any of that process. If stressed through the town council, the one exception is going to be the Town Clerk would still be elected. Under the city former government there appointed, it's not a big difference. It's a little unclear under the statue right now, but there's some clarification that the Secretary of State asked for and there are a couple of towns that have this form of government. Derry and Durham. I've listed towns throughout this presentation that have these different forms because you may want to reach out to them and asked them what they do. Just because one town sets up their government a way it certainly doesn't mean you can't choose different options for Conway.
H. Kanzler- If there was reincorporation as a city. Besides obviously having different structural options for the government, does it have any implications with DRA or DOT like kind of higher level than just, you know, how we structure our government? What impact would that potentially have outside or just the structure of government?
N. Greyes- It won't really have that much impact in terms of your relationship. If you choose a pure town council, because that's really where the difference comes in. Is kind of what authority you're given the governing body. So, when the city is the governing bodies running everything. So, I live in Concord, for example. Whenever I have a problem, I call my city councilor. I say, hey, I want this road paved. I want whatever to happen and they say sure. And they do their thing and let me know obviously that's very different than what you do here with SB2. Basically, under a pure town council form of government, you do the same thing. You're still called the town. I don't. You know why that's a thing? Other than that, it's just the election of the town clerk.
M. Guerrigue- a town council, Pure town council have a mayor like a city?
N. Greyes- Um, I have to double check because there are a couple options under cities for how you structure that. You can do either a mayor and council or. Mayor Alderman. On the town council side, no, you wouldn't have a mayor.
H. Kanzler-So you'd have a chairman like you do with selectmen, you would just be like a more powerful selectboard?
N. Greyes- If you want to conceptualize it that way, in New Hampshire, most of the cities I think have gone with the City Council option rather than the mayor and Alderman.
M. Guerrigue- Well, I think the critical question is what Harrison was asking the fundamental difference between being a city and a pure town council. Are you saying there isn't that big of a difference?
N. Greyes-To be honest, it's mostly in the name and then a couple positions like the mayor. Most town councils, pure town councils still have some sort of manager running things much like cities do, and in town council towns there are certain things that the charter must Specify
and there is also statutory list of things that the charter can specify. But you can specify really anything you want, as long as it doesn't conflict with other state statues. Essentially, given the town some sort of power it doesn't already have. Granted under the Constitution or the state? So realistically this how this boils down is most of what you're looking at for things that you have to specify in the charter for town council towns is how you work with representation.

So where are the wards?
$N$. Greyes- One thing to note is if you go in this direction, oftentimes when the legislature decides where their representative districts are going to be, they use your wards. They could be theoretically creating their own, but they're not going to do that. They pretty much always use the local determined wards to determine how they're going to divvy that up and maybe they move that around, so you have multiple words feeding into one representative seat.
M. Guerrigue- So with the Pure town Council you have to have a ward. You can't have all at large council members?
N. Greyes- No you can't. You get to decide whether you want an at large, whether you want some combination of at large or wards, whether you want boards. Those are all the things that you get to decide. You get to decide if someone new moves to town, how long they have to live there is up to you before they can serve on any sort of board. Residency is just the general requirement, but there's kind of this enhanced local option that you have that you get to determine. Your own municipal election date will mostly just follow kind of the standard calendars. Otherwise, you have to pay for an extra election, so most follow the standard calendars. So, if you have a school district here, you might try matching that up, or you might try doing like carrying it on the November election or something like that. You get to determine all of the additional policies that that go along with that. So, kind of the audit requirements, any sort of additional public hearing requirements. You know council and budget determinations. So, you know if they're going to make some sort of determination as. What the budget going to be? You've adopted that as part of your official ballot committee. Is not necessarily going to apply unless you determine that it should apply as part of the charter process. So, you have a lot of flexibility in returning what your government looks like, realistically, all of these options. That particular town council, because you're turning all of these policies and procedures that are necessary for the town government to function and do essentially what it does now, but in a different form.
T. Holmes- The third bullet point. Powers of nomination, Appointment and Confirmation. Is that for what? Other members of other boards? Who is going to make the appointment? Is it going to be, you know, a nomination going to have to come from the council itself? Is it going to be? You know the Town manager is going to make a nomination subject to the approval of the council. How exactly is that going to work?
N. Greyes- For example, I think it was yesterday, could have been the day before there was a decision that came out regarding. Resignations from a select board, and Warner, it was a

Superior Court case. And the general rule in New Hampshire is there's no obligation to accept a resignation. So, if you're on the select board, e-mail your town manager and say, hey, I'm resigning, that's effective immediately. Your board doesn't have to take any further action. But what you could do is part of your charter is say you know if someone is going to resign from the council, the council has to vote to accept that resignation at the next council meeting.
M. Guerrigue- Can the town council pull out other items? Let's say that budget or all nonprofits or anything that. Got put on a ballot that would be voted on by the general. Election?
N. Greyes- So that's another Pure Council form of government that would be under kind of a modified version and we're going to go through that in a couple of minutes.
H. Kanzler- similar to the town council, assume you could also have a various boards continue to be voted on at large if you were going to. Go with a warded town council. Would you then also have to use the board? For boards or could you continue to have those at large?
N. Greyes- No, you continue to have those at large. You're realistically doing as part of the charter is determining all of that. You can mix and match. For example, in Concord, I think we have two counselors who are at large, and then each word has its own counselor. As I mentioned before, the charter can specify a wide variety of other matters. What happens if a citizen wants something to be considered by the town at large? You know, Is there a citizen initiative option? So, it would basically be like any petition warrant article.
If the town's going to purchase something, are we going to have to? Make some sort of bids that occurs that can be sealed bidding.
N. Greyes- Those are what RFP's look like. All of those things you have to consider as well. And those are all the things that you can include in the charter. It might be a good idea because what you often find is. Before you might have Company, one come in town that could provide. I don't know, Trucks to do an emergency snow plowing. Now you're going to have two or three or four, and there's your public works department wants to line those up for winter and put whatever kind of down payment you need to.

How's that going to work? Type of process. Do they need to go through to make sure that you know? Oh, of that public money is being accounted for and being used in the best possible manner.
N. Greyes- The official town council and that goes back to what you were saying earlier, where essentially, it's kind of enhanced SB2 town or city. A city-like version of government where you have a town council that runs most things but there are certain items that are going before the voters on our ballot, much like you do now with SB2. Important is what things go on about versus what is reserved for the Council, and there are some. From different examples It's the budget that ends up going to the voters for. Kind of a final approval. That just seems to be the general trend. Interestingly enough, there's another option that is the budgetary town council, but you really do have this, this is really broad, because it's not just the budget. You might have
some sort of cut off with bonding, but what the council can approve that versus one that has to go to the voters. There are multitude of different options, it's just really making that determination what's appropriate.
T. Holmes- So what about petition warrant articles and a town council that doesn't have a ballot? Would it be the town council that would approve the petitions?
N. Greyes- So this would again be something where you would want to look at something like those petitions' articles, this isn't coming up with some sort of referendum. How does that work? How do spell that out? Do we follow what the current statue says?
T. Holmes- So as a follow up. If we have a ballot for the town council what we retain the petition we're not list to be approved by the town council, then with the bottom line budget include the petition articles that go before the voters.
N. Greyes- You could have a deliberative session. And in fact, most of the statue requirement just lead you in the direction of essentially deliberative session. You know after you have that you would then be voting on it on an official ballot because you need to have the final approval. Or that kind of write up of what that budget is. So, it would still be a two-part process for the budgetary side of things occurring in one meeting where we split into two meetings. And you have to determine what the majority vote is to. Some other strange requirements, because that's true, that has gone down to $3 / 5$ from $2 / 3$ for basically everything else, so it's still an option under the charter status, and you have to determine what is the process for special elections, any kind of transfer funds between departments, et cetera, because. The provisions of Chapter 32 don't necessarily apply to your municipality any more or unless you adopt them. But the way that the statues are in it really encourages you basically to adopt that as well. Which is the budgetary statue. The next option which is. Called the official budget Town meeting and. And it's the only budget that's voted on by the town meeting except for. To other options, which is what Bedford does, which is bond issues, and changes to land use ordinances. Everything else is controlled by the governing body, so this is really. Because your favorite option is option one. There's only three possible things you can vote on a town meeting, rather than basically anything you want to.l don't know why it's divided up like this or why they didn't just change this, and usually very soon, that what happened without having done research, is that probably Bedford, another municipality, had adoption. Option 2. They didn't want to have them vote on again, so they added One in.

And really, the encouragement again is to follow what already exists. Option two is the official ballot town meeting, and that is different because instead of voting on things by ballot, you're not voting on things in open meeting. Town Meeting and they vote at the one session in anyone of the items in the warrant to There's no ballot.

I'm going to mention defining the default budget in the charter. It might be something that you want to do. It's not just applicable. To anywhere else that you might have the budget voted on by ballot or by the voters. It's something that Londonderry has done, and Londonderry did that
in response to the changes in 2018 at the legislator as to what a default budget is. And I mentioned that because if you don't define the term default budget or you don't define other terms that are used in statue. That you are allowed to define through the charter process. When the legislature changes what those terms mean, you'll be subject to those changes. So what Londonderry found is that when those changes were enacted, what ended up happening. Is the way they had traditionally calculated default budget. And how that whole process worked was very different and it made it very difficult for them to calculate the budget. That would work for Londonderry. So just a history lesson, just to give you some background as to. Why might you want to define this? The term default budget originally came about in 1995, was clarified in 2004. There have been a number of court cases that eventually accumulated in 2018 changes about how that calculation occurred. Tom knows very well as those of you who aren't necessarily involved in that may not know the default budget essentially last year's budget with some changes. Those include one-time expenditures, any sort of reductions and or. Eliminated positions as well as any kind of increases that are. They were already disclosed to the voters and approved by the voters. So, union contracts, those kinds of things doesn't include things that you know are going to go up but you haven't gotten prior approval for. For example, electric rates go up in winter, but unless your voter approves some sort of increase, you don't get extra money for that. So, your town government has to shift money around, which goes back to part of what you're doing in this charter process is weaning out if you're going to do a charter. And you pick one of the options where you have to determine how transfers are made between departments, you may want to consider giving the town manager. Whoever is kind of the administrative head of the municipality, the options are making recommendations to whoever can approve the transfer. So, if you have a council, make a recommendation to the council about transfer between departments. So that you don't end up in a situation where salt has gone up. But our assets have gone up by $10 \%$ this year. You're no longer able to pave roads, but meanwhile you end up with extra money in another department because they didn't have the expenses that they anticipated. That's something included in the statute now, but it's not something you necessarily transfer over in Charter government. If you decide to recommend the Charter, just consider any of the terms that rely on statutory definitions. If you like those definitions, you may want to just pull those out the statue. Include those in your own definitional section so that you know from here on out you'll be clear on what those mean. The next option is a traditional town meeting with representatives. What the Charter is going to do here is to figure out, have someone come become a representative with their qualifications for officer, which they're going to be certainly statutory minimums, they have to be over 18 . They have to be eligible to vote in the state and New Hampshire. But you can determine, you know, do you have to live in the town for a few months' etcetera. Basically, what happens is you'll have words or some sort of dividing of the town or perhaps at large and elect some people to go to basically traditional town meeting and they will go to traditional timing turn everything that happens as usual traditional town meeting. So basically, you have your own mini legitature if you want to go that way.
H. Kanzler- And those are those representatives are the charter will determine how often they're elected.
N. Greyes- Yes. How often they're elected, their tenure, where they come from, what happens if one of them has resigned or moves away, etcetera, you have to turn all of that. So, there are some rules. Also, for all charters There's no home rule in state in New Hampshire. You so you can't just, you know, give your town council the authority to regulate smoking, for instance, because this is already regulated by the state level. You obviously can't conflict with any kind of state statute. Figured out from what I just said, and you can't go past the authority granted to you by the state. In short, you can do what the state has allowed you to do, and nothing more.

So, you can't change your retirement system contributions. If you have employees in their retirement system, which you do, you can't impose term limits and you can't just take over the school district. Those are all the things you can't do. You can add things like a tax cap if you desire. That is usually difficult going forward for towns to figure out their budgets after a few years, so consider that carefully if you decide to fill that direction.
H. Kanzler- You can't force, like the school district, to fold into the town or city. Does that pertain to all districts within the town or city? So, if we have various precincts, can those be folded in and made part of the town or do those also have?
N. Greyes- The villages exist separately from the towns. There's a process whereby they could vote to dissolve, and they would. Become part of the town with the charter Can't do that. But the charter I guess the charter could contemplate would exclude that. I'd have to double check. So, what happens for district is able to dissolve and assign. As they voted to create themselves, they would vote to dissolve. You can't exclude them from doing that. You can't prevent them from doing that. As long as they follow the statue process. So, if you're a problematic district. Data centers, and there's nothing you can do to stop that. If you choose a town council form, town councilors can't come in and interfere with the process of government on their own, they'd have to do it as a quorum of the body. You can also include a provision related to what happens if you enact a charter and you realize it's not working out.

You want to change to something else. How does that work? You can in front of the transition provision. You have to be careful about that because there's quite a lot of back and forth with the Department of Justice, it, gets very complicated if you do decide to include some sort of transition provision. That you reach out to town councils or get approval to reach out to town council to have them work on drafting something for you at your direction. Just starting it complicated at the ag's office, does like to get involved in that? So, I'm looking at all of these options that you have. This is really kind of a broad grant of power you've been given. I really think there are probably 2 key questions that you want to ask. As a Commission that might help guide you towards your choice to make. The first one is how much authority should be granted. The governing body. Do you want a governing body with a lot of authority? In which case you're probably looking more in the direction of Things run by town council? Or do you want them to have less authority, in which case you're going to put more on a ballot? Or more at some sort of town meeting. You probably have some idea of what that should look like based on the discussion that happened prior to the vote that caused you all to exist to be in this room with me today because there are probably some problems that came up at the local level that
needed solutions. And your current form of government just isn't a good option for solving problems and that's why you're here. And the second question is what should the budget process look like? As you noticed from what we've gone through this evening. A lot of it's about the budget process, what that looks like, how that's disclosed to the people in the town. Do they get to vote? Do they get to have public comment? What exactly happened and who should determine what that budget is, that's ultimately going to be, should it go back to the townspeople in some form or that that's on a ballot, whether that's, you know, at some open meeting or should that be turned by a vote of the council after a recommendation of a budget committee or something like that? As you figured out, you have a lot of flexibility here, and it's really possible for you to modify anything that you currently have, or even fold the things that you already have, such as budget committees. I know that's a hot topic. When you look at that kind of budget process, one of the things to consider is budget committee, whether you want to have one, whether you want to have none. Is that going to be an official budget committee? As you currently have? Or is it going to be some sort of advisory committee like a fiscal committee or something like that, where they don't necessarily have the same powers and authorities as the current one? But they provide some sort of guidance to the Council's. They look at adopting a budget as well, as far as the school district goes, because Tom let me know that his question was going to come up. The town can adopt an official budget committee, as can school district. We are considering as part of your committee is just what's going to happen with the budget with the town. Now If you as a town adopt A budget committee, that would also Apply to the school, But if you choose not to, then there wouldn't be a budget committee that oversaw the school unless the school adopted their own budget committee. And one of the things that you can do, of course, under your charter, is adopt the official Budget Committee. And that would mean that the Budget Committee still oversaw what the school does, much like yours does now. Since few different ways try to communities have integrated budget committees. You have flexibility to determine. How that relationship 's going to work as well as what the relationship is between, you know, your governing body and your voters. Anyone have any questions about charters?
M. Guerrigue- So I mean we did identify some of the issues in that you see that's why we're here and two of them are related, one of them is the SB2 deliberative session in the school budget.
N. Greyes- So I think people in general school budget aside, aren't happy with SB2 with the Deliberative session, because a few people can dominate it and change the ballot. At the same time, typically what's happened in our town over the last couple of years is we get to the deliberative and the Budget Committee is being overruled by the few people from the school world. We're basically undermining their budget committees' cuts.

Can a budget committee with authority under one, for instance, have authority over the school?
N. Greyes- You could choose option Three, where you have people like you do go to the, on which case you wouldn't have what you have now, which is the people who care are going to
show up one side or the other. They're going to show up for that. So, if you have a budget committee. Just from the beginning decided to cut something from school, budget. Parents got angry and showed up and said no. Story line overruled the recommendation of the Budget Committee essentially to the voters, then the Budget Committee, people supported the Budget Committee didn't show up, right. So that's kind of what the dynamic you would have now.

I guess you might have the opposite to where the budget committee recommends more money and you know that, but the only real way to overcome that is, is if you have some sort of representative making the decision. Right. Whether that's a town council, whether that's a rep going to the town meeting because when those people are voted in year before, I mean it could be that one of the platform issues is what more or less money for this, you know, this is not a case that. You're going to do that, but I do want to know that what we're talking about here are the Charter Commission. The municipal budget there's a difference than the school, you're not going to be changing what the school does. So, if the school's SB-2, it's going to stay SB2. It's not going to change just because you change. The only relationship to them is going to be do we have a budget committee that's going to look at and make recommendations about what their budget is to the voters and that during the SB2 vote. It's not going to change their process because they're a separate form of government. They're separate governments, I should say.
J. Colbath- Are you going to allow questions from the audience?
N. Greyes- I'm going to cover a little about the right to know law because we're obviously here at a meeting and the right to Know applause applies. I just want to make sure everyone's on the same page. I know Tom has been doing this for a long time, so he's well aware of it, but some of you may have some questions at some point in time of what he's doing and why he's doing it. So, to make sure you know, I'm going to give you a little overview. So, the right to know law comes from the Constitution and the kind of default position on the right to know is Everything the government does is public. There are exceptions, which means there are things government can keep out of the public eye, but there's nothing that requires the government to do so. For our purpose, you aren't really dealing with the records too much. Most of these are going to come to you via your chair. What you're really concerned about is meetings. You're in a public meeting with a quorum meeting. More than half of you are here. You're a public body because you've been elected, and I want to mention that if for some reason you Create a subcommittee that's the committee is also a public body. You cannot get around the right to know law by creating something else if for whatever reason you decide to appoint one member of your committee and a whole bunch of members of the public to something. That is like a public body. You're meeting to talk about things over which you have jurisdiction, controls, revision, etcetera. So, if you decide to go out for dinner and you don't talk about the charter Commission or charters, you're good. If you do, then you're in trouble. Determine what your quorum is going to be. Keep in mind that anything you write down is a government record, unless something else applies to any personal note you take, that you never reference in the meeting or never tell anyone about. Those are yours, those aren't governmental records, but any notes you take for the purpose of the Charter Commission. So, for example, if you take notes and then someone comes in with minutes and then you discussed the minutes and somebody says,
well, that's not what I wrote down. You've now introduced your notes into the public record. Those have now become public records as well. Be careful when you're talking. Be any kind of electronic media or should just not talking about Charter business except in this room that's the meeting has been convened. Particularly when you're using e-mail, there is a. Don't send an email to all of your members. You obviously have a process your information is going to get distributed to. You will electronically in advance of meetings, through whatever process the town of Conway uses. And that's not going to result in all of you seeing each other 's e-mail addresses. When sending meeting minutes out, send them blind. CC . Everyone doesn't reply all. The other person who's going to receive that is you. So, unless it's less than a quorum and you will tell them not to talk about anything, including corrections to the minutes until the meeting happens.
V. Blake- So this is where I'm going to have questions because my understanding is that we can ask for input from the voters or anyone that's a resident, correct me if I'm wrong, but I showed my e-mail address if people had something that they wanted brought up so that we are aware of it, or something more people than just us. So, is that inappropriate for people to ask questions through e-mail?
N. Greyes- The public meetings discuss that here, where everyone's recorded in closed caption under thing socially fine. What's not fine is if you get the e-mail from the public and then e-mail that everyone in the community asked for feedback and then send it back to the original member of the public.
T. Holmes- Several of the members are using private emails in the event of a 91 A request. Is there a possible way it Could reach banner commissions business to get their private emails.
N. Greyes- You should create a separate e-mail address for town business. So, if there's 91 request you get. You can give the password over to the town official in charge of a 91 A request and say here it is and you don't have to worry about any personal emails or anything like that because it's totally separate, and I think that's the right approach. It keeps time, business, public business, separate from her personal life.
V. Blake- if I am allowed to answer an e-mail to someone.
N. Greyes- You can answer the public. So again, e-mail, phone, Facebook, Twitter, anything that social media, it all qualifies. So public meetings. You're going to have public notice. You're going to open it to the public. They're here. You're going to have minutes ever say they're being taking those. That has been discussed in a public meeting is going to be posted in advance. Presumably you're sure is in charge of this, but just so there's you know, they're going to be posted in two public places when I would frame the website of the municipality. That's true in public places, unless you have some more restrictive rule. An agenda is not required under the Right to Know law. Again, meetings are open to the public. The public can come. They can record. You have this all set up already, so they don't need to come in and have their own reporting devices. Importantly, you can't vote via secret ballot. So, when you turn that, if any
charter you want to recommend, you can't have a secret ballot vote on that. You can take public comment. Presumably have rules in place about that already. You don't have to take public comment. You were aware that their government records. Does anyone else have any questions on that? When you are here, only you on the board have the right to speak. The public has to be invited to speak. Basically, they can say whatever they want to you. Hopefully they're all nice people and polite, but that's not always the case. But they can yell at you. Hopefully they won't. But they can and as far as what the rules are, the most important rule is that there'll be a time limit that will be strictly enforced by the chair, and so they'll be two minutes, 3 minutes, whatever it is. When there's public comment, and that's basically one limitation. On what they can have. You can't require people to say anything because you are the government. Basically, time limits are the one rule you can enforce. There's three strikes rule basically for certain comments but that's really hard to enforce and inevitably results in lawsuit.
T. Holmes. In the event we have statutory required two public hearings, can you impose those time limits on the public hearings of 2 minutes per speaker?
N. Greyes- Yes. The statute does not specify how long someone has to speak. So you can set the Rules of the committee. It sounds like you're going to have a transfer basically anyway. Any kind of final decision that you make and the names of any members who made motion or second, any motion. That's why Tom has been mentioning those minutes are available within five business days. It doesn't mean they're final minutes, meaning these minimum requirements are available obviously you have a process where you're proving.

Any questions, I'll open it up to the commissioners, then the public.
M. Guerrigue- Just move on to the deliberative session. Even though we've mentioned the school's budget. It's not about the school's budget. I mean, everybody knows that the problem with the deliberative session is a small group of people who deprive the greater voting voters at the ballot, which seems contrary to the whole idea of why we even have an SB2. So other towns have other towns. I mean, we can't be the only town that has this challenge with SB2 and with the deliberative session and if so, what have they done about it?
N. Greyes- Have they gone to the extent of actually changing our charter or change that so you're not the only municipal looking at a charter? Um, Salem just adopted one, and I think there are two or three other ones who are actively looking at this. Point in time. One of the biggest Issues. We're looking at the charter, which is what you have a budget that's proposed by either your budget committee or by your select board. You go to the deliberative session, they generally speaking, it's the people who don't like one of the items are the ones you show up in force. And the people who do like it, who may be a majority, don't show up, and then the end result is you're not quite sure if the budget that comes out of the session is what's supported by the majority of the town overall. Or if it's just a majority of the people who were upset by something in that budget, and at the end result is the voters get to make this choice between either essentially last year's budget, which may have some issues, or may not, and
what is proposed, which may not be something they like at all, or maybe something they say, well, there's stuff that I like, There's stuff that I don't like and what I like, what I don't. And there is a good solution for that. I don't really have a silver bullet for you.

The only silver bullet would be to go to a pure town council and have a not have a deliberative session.

You could do that if you wanted. I can't make a recommendation to that, but I mean that's the option.

Mr. Webs- Is this group you're concerned that as example you just gave us an a minority of people showing up at the deliberative session changing something? Is that the biggest concern or is it the fact that not that many people participate either in the deliberative or come out to vote. I mean, there's a difference. I think I can't remember the last time meeting or deliberative session I did not attend. And I understand what you're saying that a minority can make a change at that meeting. But does it happen that often or is it the fact that people do not participate either in the deliberative or come out to vote and then you get the other? Example saying, well, some of the voters were not informed, they didn't understand the questions on the ballot was too long.
Is that the case or I think the presentation of the material before the voters is out there between multitude being discussed on the TV, at our meetings, on the paper? And for them, the average person would have to say I don't understand this. I don't know what else we can do, and I'm concerned that if we get rid of the form of the deliberative session that I'm not going to have a say and then I want to be able to have a say. And I don't know if the problem is that severe. I'd like to see a bigger participation, a question about that at all, whether it's at the deliberative or the voters. And I don't know how you do that, but to make a change.
V. Blake-. Is there a minimum requirement? Is there a population piece to that, that you have to have a certain number in? Equally.
N.Greyes- There's no minimum population requirement for any of those options.
R. Leavitt- One of the interesting things I saw in the PowerPoint presentation was on the SB2. You stated that there have been some amendments towards SB2 presented to the legislature or house and it hasn't gone anywhere. Is it the case that the towns haven't gotten behind it? Are they just not interested in changing legislators?
N.Greyes- So my understanding of how SB2 came about is that because there was a recognition the legislator that we needed something? Between the traditional town meeting where everybody showed up in one building to vote on everything and basically city government where you have a number of elected reps who make all those decisions. And the difficulty with kind of choices, there are tradeoffs, right? So, I think one of the things you have to decide is. If we like what we do now, but maybe we think our warrant is too long, is there a way we could
figure out how to adopt A charter that trims that down? Or do we want to do something else altogether?
K. Umberger. I believe I submitted five changes to the default budget and not one of them passed muster. They didn't like any of them as a result Municipal and county affairs people voted no. And I did these five years in a row, and I said I've had it, I'm not going to do anymore. And that's basically. What's been going on and why has nothing changed?
S. Sand- Some of the things I've heard concern and trying to find a way for these choices to fit where attendance at the deliberative you know a certain faction changing things from you after all the work's been done by committees and selection and stuff. And coming in and changing things at the last minute. I know one of the issues for attendance. At the in person is we hold that on week nine people are working. You know we have the same week as the schools going on for two nights late into the night and such difficult for people to attend and participate in things. Is there any form of this where you have like a ballot delivered of you know, it's like almost like this is our preference and then the final vote? Type thing where you can actually put on paper or something. Is there anything like that?
N. Greyes- Seems like your questions. Have been kind of getting to the point how? Do we improve attendance if that's possible? Not aware. I'm a data person, but I'm not aware of anyone who has done any kind of data analytics on what works best in terms of getting participation. Appears through the case that when people have a strong. Emotional response. They're going to come out and vote one way or another.
H. Kanzler- Under the official budget town meeting form. Would that be a town council, not a select board? Under that system, a budget is voted on by the governing body and that can also be allowed for land use and zoning regulations to be voted. Can we actually petition to basically have differences or amend any of those systems in some way? Whether or not we are allowed to is a different story. Is it possible to go to a system like the official budget town meeting and then have a deliberative petition to allow to have a delivery session on those items?
N. Greyes- I think probably what you'd want in that case is the official ballot town council. So, you could basically decide as part of the charter what goes to the ballot versus what's done by the council.
H. Kanzler—we could then go potentially go rogue and have a deliberative session on x.y.z and then it'd be up to the AG's office. It was mentioned that we don't want to lose our voice at the deliberative session.
J. Colbath- As you know, I'm a current selectman in Conway and I've been serving for nine years, and from day one I did start and have a town official only e-mail account and have never mixed my current personal along with the public stuff. I have three questions for you, Matt, The first one is just for Natch How does a town become a city? Is it by acclamation? Is it a legislative act? Is it a vote of the members?
N. Greyes- I believe it's a vote of the legislative body.
J. Colbath - the official ballot town council both budget and non-budget items are placed on the official ballot and the only matter voted on by official ballot is the budget, and Hooksett and London area, Merrimack and new market have that system, can the voters at that meeting modify the budget?
N. Greyes- I think they have in Hooksett. I think they modify as a result of the deliberative session.
J. Colbeth - so if they have like a town council and then a budget committee of some kind who does all this budget work, then at this deliberative meeting the proletariat can modify the budget and it gets voted then and there?
N. Greyes- no at a subsequent.
J. Colbeth - Just as in comment a lot of people going into Charter Commission felt that they were voting on something that would address the town and the school.
T. Holmes-I just looked it up a town has to petition Legislator to become a city.
L. Inkel- in option 1 it is almost like SB2 like is that how I understand it, where they could take comments?
N. Greyes- So it would be in these kind of scenarios. You'd probably have a situation where you would want to have public input during that budget process for example in Concord what they do is they advertise when they're going to have the budget hearing so that the public comes, has comment and input there, even though it's the City Council that votes on that.
L. Inkel- yes, I think we should have more attendance. So trying to understand that if there are PH on the articles, and not making changes and make it advisory. My next question, would you still have members being voted on the ballot?
N. Greyes- that would be rules in your charter rules.

Louise K- the TC/TC must still be elected, could you still combine the position, or would the tax collector have to be appointed?
N. Greyes- I think that it's not specified for combined but I assume it's still elected.
J. Colbath- I was on the budget committee and we always held public hearings for the public and have the budget explained, ask questions and get clarifications before we go to the deliberative meetings for both the school budget and the town budget.
H. Kanzler-Any system where you have a council that has authority to make changes, they're allowed to enact those essentially immediately if they so choose. It gives a bit more agility to the community to adapt and change the things that are happening. If we went with the system that way as opposed to waiting for an annual vote on everything.
N. Greyes- It's a little more nimble in that sense. Because you don't have to wait. So, if there's some sort of emergency or unexpected event that comes up you need a response that would require the legislative body to make and take an action. Under that authority that was given to the Council. They would have the ability to respond. So often where this often comes up, quite frankly most frequently, is when the legislature changes something that requires adoption. You know, at the local level, usually the council towns will just go ahead and do that adoption or non-adoption or whatever they want to do within a couple meetings. Where the other municipalities will have to wait for their annual meeting.

Conway Daily Sun- I know we are not a home rule state, but the charter says this is a home rule charter.
N. Greyes- it does use the term home rule under 46, which is where you adopt the charter, but we're not really a home rule state.

Conway Daily Sun-was wondering if we might lose some grandfathering. In terms of special powers.
N. Greyes- -is a provision under 47:17 which is the city status. authority granted to town council towns where they essentially have the option to adopt any ordinance that isn't contrary to the Constitution or other statue.

Conway Daily Sun-The reason why I was going down this route, there's been a lot of talk in this town about gambling and casinos and all that, and there was there's language in here, the charter is to protect from social evils, including gambling and liquor, drugs and prostitution. But it's not my understanding that the state. Basically said, in terms of charitable gaming, the state has authority on where those go and the town really can't say no despite whichever is in the charter.
N. Greyes- If the state takes over some authority that existed at one point in time with municipalities, and it takes it over and there's nothing we can do about it.
M. Guerrigue- just seems like 1A is kind of the most obvious alternative for us because it allows a lot of flexibility with what goes on the ballot and all that. So, in a nutshell with 1 A when you have town Councilman. They will have a little more authority in doing things quicker than waiting for the end of the year, but beyond that, what's the difference? And if you can't change
the deliberative, what's the difference between general terms between 1 A and what we're doing now?
N. Greyes- you will be granting more authority vs waiting.
K. Umberger- the thing with 1a as an example you could give the TC the ability to approve any purchases up to $\$ 200,000$ and not have to have it on the ballot. These are things that we can address in the town charter, and they would never go to the deliberative session.
V. Blake- term limits- can we set them?
N. Greyes- no you cannot set term limits.
T. Holmes- We are scratching over the minutes as they are not ready yet.
T. Holmes- We will discuss the budget now. We have seen 1 bill from the recording secretary, NHMA's bill for being here tonight is $\$ 550$, and we have not gotten a bill from Valley Vision yet.
K. Umberger- I'll send the budget to Alicia for her to include in the minutes.
T. Holmes- discussion of the contact list for members and non-members. This is a 2 -fold request. Our secretary has requested everybody's phone numbers. It is voluntary if you want to give that. When we cancelled our first meeting, somebody showed up here to go to the meeting. They were a little upset about it. To whoever wants to be on e-mail us from the public who want to be notified of our goings and as far as cancellations and so forth, please see Secretary Karen for that and give her your contact information. We'll make sure you're contacted ahead of time. Any questions or comments?
We had a suggestion from one of the members to start discussing the dates for the statutory public meetings. So, if you have a calendar, there's one meeting where the public gives us input as to what they'd like to see. So that's probably earlier, the earlier the better, although probably not at the next meeting because we have the attorney coming in, unless you want another long one like this? It's up to you. Michael Courtney is coming in. On this 2nd and then we have no agenda as yet for the 9th. Anybody want to make a motion to have a public meeting on the $9^{\text {th }}$ ?
S. Sands- I am not available on the 9th, so my motion would be to make the public hearing for the $23 r d$.

## S. Sands motioned to hold a Public Hearing on August 23 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$; seconded by R. Levitt.

Roll Call: R. Leavitt; Aye, V. Blake; Aye, M. Guerrigue; Nay, E. Grant; Aye, H. Kanzler; Nay, K. Umberger; Aye, S. Sands; Aye, D. Weathers; Aye, T. Holmes; Aye. Vote in favor 7-2.
K. Umberger- So what is the goal of the public hearing?
T. Holmes- where members of the public are specifically invited to come into our Commission and let us know what they'd see like to see coming out of the Commission.
K. Umberger-So this is like a preliminary let us hear what you want to say.
H. Kanzler- is the chair or generally the commissions belief that we should provide some sort of presentation at the beginning of the hearing so that folks who have not attended such a meeting as the one we had today have. Any idea what we're talking about?
T. Holmes- Volume setting. Is a public hearing is more from them to us, not from us to them, although we're welcome to answer their questions if they have any.
M. Guerrigue- I'm just worried about. The time frame of waiting until the August date. I think we have to wrap this up within a few months. I think it's important. To hear from the public before we get too far into it, and I think we should have it before.
R. Leavitt- I was On the Board of Selectman, back in the day when we went from three selectman to five, I don't recall that we had a charter Commission back then, although people tell me we did. If in fact we did have a charter Commission, I would like to get copies of those minutes of that in their thought process because I think it might be helpful because I do remember as a Board of selectman that we did talk about representative to Town meeting and the different forms of government. Like I said, I don't remember that we actually formed the Commission. Obviously, we did have a vote to go from three to five and we had to have public hearings and all that, but I would like to see if in fact we did have a Commission back then.
T. Holmes- You may be right. I'm starting to read about the difference between revision and amendment and the statues. And I'm pretty sure that unless you're changing the underlying structure of the government, you don't have to have a charter Commission. But if you just want to change the number of selectmen, I think you could, I'm pretty sure. That's what we did. I want clarification because I don't remember us having a charter Commission. I remember us having three Selectmen. I think it was like 1991, maybe give or take. You might be able to check the time reports for those couple of years.
H. Kanzler- I would propose establishing our meetings sooner than later so we are prepared, and all can make the appropriate schedule changes.

## K. Umberger moved to discuss and fill out the September calendar seconded by H. Kanzler.

## Vote in favor 9-0

D. Weathers says Thursdays work best for him.
S. Sands says Thursday doesn't work for her Wednesday is better.
R. Leavitt motioned that we meet on Wednesdays in September; seconded by M. Guerrigue.

Roll Call: R. Leavitt; Aye, V. Blake; Aye, M. Guerrigue; Aye, E. Grant; Aye, H. Kanzler; Aye, K. Umberger; Aye, S. Sands; Nay, D. Weathers; Abstained, T. Holmes; Aye. Vote in favor 7-1-1.
T. Holmes motioned that we hold an extra meeting on Thursday September 21st; seconded by H. Kanzler.

Roll Call: R. Leavitt; Aye, V. Blake; Abstained, M. Guerrigue; Aye, E. Grant; Aye, H. Kanzler; Nay , K. Umberger; Aye, S. Sands; Nay, D. Weathers; Aye, T. Holmes; Aye. Vote in favor 7-1-1
K. Umberger- some corrections from the recording secretary are to state your name clear for the record. She asked that we do a roll call at the beginning of the meeting, so she knows who's there for attendance. If someone leaves the meeting, we record the time they left so it is reflected in the minutes.
H. Kanzler- Is it the intent that the public hearing will occur here?
T. Holmes- that is always the question as we never know how many will show up.
R. Leavitt- I did leave early last time, but I did watch you folks on Valley Vision TV and the Blue Sky issue. I guess the interesting thing is that it's not the government is broke, it's the voters that are broke because they're not showing up to deliver it.

## Adjournment

## H. Kanzler motioned to adjourn the meeting; seconded by D. Weathers.

Vote in favor 9-0

Respectfully Submitted, Alicia Jipson
Recording Secretary

