CONWAY CHARTER COMMISSION AGENDA 1 2 CONWAY TOWN HALL MEETING ROOM 3 CONWAY, NH 4 5 August 2, 2023, at 5:30 PM 6 7 Attendance 8 The following members were present: Ray Leavitt, Victoria Noel Blake, Mark Guerrigue, Eliza 9 Grant, Harrison Kanzler, Karen Umberger, David Weathers and Thomas Holmes. 10 11 Absent- S. Sands excused. 12 **Pledge of allegiance**- those present and able stood for the pledge of allegiance. 13 14 15 K. Umberger motioned to approve the agenda as written; seconded by H. Kanzler. 16 17 Vote in favor 8-0 18 19 R. Leavitt motioned to approve the minutes of 7/6/23 as amended seconded by E. Grant. 20 21 T. Holmes- I noticed the heading of where we are meeting is wrong, we are not meeting in 22 Kennet High School. I also believe that S. Sand did not vote for herself as vice chair so I have it 23 as an abstention for a vote of 8-0-1. On page 2 K. Umberger did not vote for herself so vote 24 would be 8-0-1. 25 26 Vote in favor 8-0 27 28 Michael Courtney from Upton and Hatfield-29 30 What are you looking at? What are your goals? I've read the warrant; I've read your charter. I 31 understand it is hard for municipalities to get turnout at meetings. A lot of towns when you are 32 an SB2 town with very little turnout at the deliberative. I know NHMA was here giving advice, I 33 am not sure there is any silver bullet of which way to go. 34 35 M. Guerrigue - we thought you'd be here to talk about City Charters. We all probably have our 36 own reasons for looking at this. I think in general the focus is the deliberative sessions, some people would like to see a 2nd set of eyes on the school budget, the ballot is lengthy with 50 37 38 articles. 39 40 M. Courtney- yes 50 articles are lengthy. The CBA articles have to be there, the zoning has to be there. The changes to the veteran's credit had to be there, the CRF those if you are not 41 42 changing could be all in one, but there is also a risk there. We represent Bedford and they have 43 the open town budgetary town meeting, they are also looking at their carter to possibly go to 44 SB2.

T. Holmes- if we went that route would it have to be approved by the legislature?

M. Courtney- no RSA:49-c used to be that way, can be adopted, we would revise the charter to make it a city. There are some benefits of having a City Council, Mayor, and Manager.

M. Guerrigue – if you could talk about how a city form of government could work here. But how could it work with the system that we already have?

M. Courtney- With the city structure you are more mobile in what you do. You don't have the budget committee, you don't have the hearings, or the 10% rule, it's not limited to the ultimate vote. You vote in the alderman or reps to make the decisions; you can do that with a town council form of government. A city gives you a little more flexibility. RSA:49 b,c,d allow towns as home rule ability. If you wanted to bring that within, you'd be violating the statue. If you wanted to go outside like Portsmouth, you'd have to get the legislature involved. You can't make the school district a department of Conway.

H. Kanzler- I think the question is if we were to reincorporate as a city, could we then reassess our precincts as well as part of that process, or would everything in our current charter as being a separate corporate body have to remain separate?

66 M. Courtney- no I believe the statue allows you to reincorporate. Youd just be a new power.

68 D. Weathers- would the precincts have to go along with it automatically?

70 M. Courtney- the town would vote as a whole.

K. Umberger- we have 2 precincts that were created by by legislature. We have presents inside precincts.

M. Courtney- if the legislature created the precincts that may be an issue. You could rescind your charter completely and go back to the old form. You have a lot of options. If you are going to become a City, I believe that is a revision and not an amendment of your charter and that has certain limitations.

T. Holmes- can you give examples of what are revisions vs amendments.

 M. Courtney- revisions are if you are changing the municipalities form of government. RSA 49: b4d. from the following: Traditional Town Meeting, official ballot town meeting, town council, official ballot town council, budgetary town council, representative town meeting, city under mayor/alderman plan, city under council/manager plan. What you may think about doing if you really change those things, it is a revision and not an amendment and a different process.

T. Holmes- can an amendment be changing the # of Selectmen? Changing the makeup of the budget committee? Do you need a charter commission for those things?

90

- 91 M. Courtney- I don't believe so because that's not listed in the RSA and I think we would argue 92 that the statue is plain, I think that would be an amendment.
- 93 H. Kanzler- the discussion between city and town is there any difference as part as the state is concerned?

95

96 M. Courtney- we have to follow the parameters; I've never seen the state or DOT treat a city 97 any different. I can ask DRA, but I don't think they will give me an answer.

98

H. Kanzler- it seems the pure town council is pretty similar to a city council and the systems if we were looking to switch to a city system.

101

M. Courtney- I think a town council is a good option for your population for your population.
 Salem moved to the Town Council because they got to big. To go to a city, I think the TC form of govt can get you where you need to go.

105 106

T. Holmes- if we were able to go to a pure TC form of govt, we wouldn't have a budget committee, but the school still would, correct?

107108

109 M. Guerrigue- to go back to the TC option, option 3 (representative) no one has, they all include a deliberative town meeting.

111

T. Holmes- if we had a budgetary TC the budget committee would remain in place overseeing the town and the school and we would have an annual meeting to discuss the budget and from that point we would narrow down the articles and incorporate certain things into the TC authority.

116

M. Courtney- that is like Bedford the open budgetary Mtg where the TC deals with everythingthroughout the year. The question is can we have a pure TC with no annual meeting on that.

119120

T. Holmes- if we have issues with people attending a Town meeting how can we get representatives from the districts to attend nightly meetings.

121 122

M. Courtney- that's the hardest task all around. Towns are struggling to get employees all over.

124

R. Leavitt- my point I want to make is a reps town meeting would be along the same lines as a budget committee, I don't see a lot of people jumping to fill those seats. I think before we have a hearing or a listening session that we hear from all here if the current system that we have besides the deliberative session is an issue. The form of govt that we have now is not an issue and is responsive. I think it would be a good starting position to discuss if the system we have now is working or not.

132 M. Courtney- how many show up to the deliberative session?

133

T. Holmes- about 100 and goes down, and a lot of that is staff.

135136

- K. Umberger- I do have a question about selectmen, can we give them more power than they have statutorily? Like the CRF can we give the Selectmen authority to approve the CRF without
- 138 a Town Meeting vote?

139 140

141

137

T. Holmes- I could see the CRF being incorporated into the budget. You still have the town meeting to discuss just the budget, and if there was CRF that they wanted to cut, they would not be bound to cut it. As far as selectmen I am not sure their powers can be extended.

142143

M. Courtney- that's a good question, my understanding was you cannot go outside of the bounds of the statue. My thought was if you want to have the selectmen approve CRF and raise bonds, you really need to be a town council. CRF is a special warrant article so what we have on the warrant they have to be separate in some instances.

148149

K. Umberger- the TC form of govt has more leeway, correct?

150

151 M. Courtney- Yes that is true depending on how your charter is drafted.

152153

M. Guerrigue- to clarify the city structure, if we became a city does that automatically means the council has control of the school budget?

154155

M. Courtney- no I do not believe so the legal cases say no, you can change your form of govt but you cannot add to it. Adding the school would be going outside of that. The case states that we would need special legislation to give control, because the school district is a separate entity. Is there any reason why you would want to have oversight over the school system?

160

M. Guerrigue- not really a rift, but this goes back to the budget committees and deliberatives.
They work on cuts, we get to the deliberative, and they restore those cuts and the voters do
not get a choice. I think the idea of having the school under the city ot town council prevents
those things from happening.

165166

167

168

M. Courtney- the issue is then you need to have better voter turnout. Having the voters turnout is how you get things passed. When I go to these meetings, there are many small towns where everyone shows up. SB2 kind of killed town meetings. Do you have large enough facilities to hold a traditional town meeting?

169 170

R. Leavitt- the town is made up of many transient people who do not have ownership. They don't have the buy in to the town, I think that where we lose the drive to go to these meetings.

I don't think you are going to change that.

174175

D. Weathers- in your observation is there 1 way that seems to have a better turnout?

177 M. Courtney- the smaller towns that have an open town meeting work best and have better turnouts.

M. Guerrigue- I realize you have to be a certain population to be a city, and I think we all agree that going back to a traditional town meeting is not going to work. Where in how towns and city's advance, what do you think we should do now?

M. Courtney- the higher your population gets is to move to a town council, that way there is more flexibility. I am not hearing that your selectmen are not working, you are just looking to have more show up at the deliberative and town meeting. I'm not hearing that your form of govt is not working. Eventually the TC form of govt is the way to go.

T. Holmes- exploring the budgetary town council, there is still a town council that gives more power than the selectmen have gives the voters the power to still weigh in on the budget, and the items in the charter like zoning, CRF, bond articles. #1 problem is the attendance and length of the ballot, and the budgetary TC we might be able to shorten that.

M. Courtney- look at Bedford last year it was like 3-5 warrant articles. I believe Beford or Salem has the power in the charter that the TC can call a meeting to decide that issue on referendum.

 H. Kanzler- I wanted to get clarification on the official ballot town council and official budget town meeting. I want clarification on that system, I know the charter determines what goes and doesn't go on the ballot, I presume under that model we would still have a budget committee and there would still be a deliberative session and how it appears on the ballot?

M. Courtney- if you provide that in your charter, you could but you do not have to.

T. Holmes- there are 2 types of budget committee, advisory and true form. If we wanted to make it advisory is that a revision or an amendment?

207 M. Courtney- that is an amendment changing the budget committee type.

K. Umberger- each of the precincts hold a special town meeting, the feedback that I hear from them is why can't they get absentee ballots and take care of business. Where I am from if there is nothing controversial going on then you have little show up, more when there are controversial items.

M. Guerrigue- I was one who went out and got the signatures for this. What I heard was the school budget is out of control and they were unhappy with the decision the selectmen were making. When I was gathering signatures, I thought that the school would be a part of what we would be changing but it seems that is not true.

M. Courtney- when everyone looks at their tax bill, they see the difference between the school and the town bill. But I don't see the reason you have presented as reason to change your form of govt.

222223

T. Holmes- the people I spoke to don't see what is wrong with SB2 and are leaning towards the status quo.

224225226

227

228

R. Leavitt- I agree most people I have talked to don't see a big issue with what we are doing. The big take that I got was the ability to go and do the ballot and they didn't want to do the deliberative. From the standpoint of people making an actual say on the budget I'd say is a success. I'm really interested in what Peterborough does.

229230

T. Holmes- I will open up public comment for any questions to the attorney.

232

Quintin Lewis from center Conway- I hear a lot of people interested in high efficiency government. Representative govt gets messy. In terms of SB2 we lost that input from people at the traditional town meeting.

236

Bill Marvel South Conway- I am reluctant to take up legals time, I don't understand why it's not quite possible for us to go back to a traditional town meeting. Are you saying that it is just impractical?

240

T. Holmes- before we go back to what we don't like we need to be sure it is not working.
Traditional Town meeting was not working and that's why we went to SB2.

243 244

K. Umberger- when we first made the switch there was more attendance at the deliberative and has dwindled down over time.

245246

Bill Marvel South Conway- if we can't get ahold of the school then I don't see the sense in any change.

249250

Louise Inkel Conway- I'm looking for clarification we spoke of the advisory and official budget committee. Is advisory an option for us under SB2? If we made an amendment to go to advisory it would also be advisory for the school too?

252253

251

254 M. Courtney- I don't have that info on me but I can email the chair a response.

255

Damon Conway Daily Sun- for as long as I've been around the town has always put out budgets that everyone agrees on and chooses. If you had a situation where the city council is overseeing both, you could have a situation where the school overwhelms the town block, and you could lose the conservative voice. There would be nothing stopping a dedicated voting block from over running one or the other.

261

262 H. Kanzler- it is my understanding that we cannot fold the school in no matter what we do.

V. Blake- have you worked with any towns and worked with them in doing a deep dive in what is not working. Rather than change, but more so update on a significant level.

M. Courtney- I think all towns are trying to make it better, and a lot are doing a deep dive.

269 Mary Seavey- that is the job of the master plan. We look at the ordinance and evaluate what 270 needs to be changed and work on that.

272 M. Courtney- changing the charter is not a usual thing but changing it by pieces is.

274 V. Blake- I don't think people understand how the govt works, they are just complaining.

E. Grant- were talking about revisions and that would be a departure from SB2. If we talk about amendments meaning we want to stay with SB2 but want to make other changes, there is not a strict timeline because that process does not have to go through a charter commission.

M. Courtney- your article was to establish a charter commission for the purpose of revising or amending the charter, you have a year to do revision and statute allows an additional year if you are amending and the amendments don't necessary need a charter commission to do that.

E. Grant- I am not convinced that we need to depart from SB2, we were trying to be aggressive with our timetable, and it might not be as strict as we thought.

T. Holmes- does anyone see the 2 city options as viable right now. Do we see the town going in that direction right now?

R. Leavitt- I don't see us going that way.

292 K. Umberger- I don't see it either.

294 E. Grant- I don't see it either.

296 M. Guerrigue- it's always about tradeoffs. Getting the school budget with another set of eyes 297 on it. Even if we were a city, we still wouldn't get the school under it.

T. Holmes- I was quoted in the paper for saying that I wasn't in favor of a mayoral form of government, and this is why. I worked for on in the city of Beverley and one of the dynamics that occurred ,the mayor ran every 2 years and 9 alderman, and the alderman always wanted to run for mayor, and they would always be battling the mayor trying to make him look bad and themselves look better because they planned on running against him, and I found that the town was being governed more politically than professionally. When you have the administrative management done by politicians who come and go every 2 years you don't get the historical

perspective of a town manager and it swings in the wind politically with how towns are governed.

M. Guerrigue- one of the things that I thought might be considered here is having a representative form of government.

T. Holmes- I know you have concerns about representation from North Conway precinct, why don't people step up to run for office?

D. Weathers- when I first came there 3 of the 5 at that time were from north Conway and it has gone back and forth, and it changed with time with people's interests. I think you should be representing the town and not an area.

319 K. Umberger- when I was a selectman a while ago, I think I was the only one from North Conway, and most was primarily from Conway.

T. Holmes- the census went down, maybe there is a smaller pool in North Conway.

K. Umberger- I wrote down some thoughts to help us wrap our heads around what the options are, then I found it interesting that we got the info from Mark who thought the rep town meeting was the way to go. I tended to take into consideration the conversations that we have had about the representatives from the town. I think we need to think about moving forward, we've collected good information. What I am hearing from tonight is what we have is fine.

H. Kanzler- it is incumbent upon this group that is more than do nothing. We at least owe it to the large majority who wanted to see change. I think we must at minimum propose something whether we recommend it. We owe it to the people to put something out there to vote on. With that in mind for me personally rep town govt is out, I think that is a step back. Proactivity and looking forward is what we need to do. Population growth is projected across NH. Do we need it now I do not know? I think that to me the official ballot TC and official budget town meeting seem to tick a lot of boxes that we think are of interest. I don't think long meetings are the way, but I think allowing those to have a voice is. Having the opportunity to have our voice heard via a ballot is better, I do see an interest in change out there. This commission was approved overwhelmingly.

E. Grant- when I read what Karen wrote I felt it was interesting that you were in favor of the reps form of govt. I would be interested in your impression if that would work well in a Town. I don't want to be a test and be the first to try.

K. Umberger- I was not in favor of that option at all, I was just reacting to the various forms of govt and if that was the choice then what I might be looking at, but I do not agree with that form of govt. I am torn between the official ballot town council and the SB2 what we have today, and I'd change it to a budgetary town council.

V. Blake- I feel like people don't see us as one. They are focused on their area only. If there was a way to make it a bigger umbrella so we all felt like we were on the same team, I think there is a disconnect. A lot of people look at it like precincts vs Conway as a whole. There is a different vibe in all the neighborhoods. I think we need to break things down in laymans terms and make those know how it really works. I am still learning my 2 cents is does not feel like 1 town.

R. Leavitt- I am intrigued with SB2 like, I don't like sb2 as it stands now, if I were to change it would be the town council with a ballot, because it still allows input, but I am not 100% sold.

D. Weathers- I don't like hearing that there are different sections of town, I am in a non-precinct area, I vote for what I feel is good for the town I know there is an attitude of some in the town and how I get them to look at the broader picture, I don't know. We rely on the tourists, and the absentee landowners, I still want to have a vote, I'd like to see bigger participation in voting. In the past voting seemed more personal.

V. Blake- what I said was not a slight on how it has been done, what I am saying is what I am hearing from the voters, if it is not in their area they are not interested.

 M. Guerrigue- unless we can get control of the school budget, and I hate to be the anti-school person, but I think it's not worth doing anything. 1a is a good option. I think the town ends up having to make up for the school budget because so much is spent on the school budget and the town tries to compensate for that.

T. Holmes- There's nothing we can do about the school, but what can we do about the ballot. The rep town meeting, I think it may turn into the same issue we currently have. I am in favor of making the budget committee more functional.

H. Kanzler- I'd like to think moving forward we can work on the things we can change. We cannot do anything about getting more attendance at the deliberative. We can't change the minds and the will of the people and get them to show up.

E. Grant- thinking about what I am hearing and what I see for materials, and do we want to entertain a town council vs a board of selectmen. I am trying to think about what voters want and what represents the voters. I'm getting feedback that a town council scares them and is others hearing the same?

T. Holmes- My impression is the voters will not approve of something that eliminates a budget committee.

389 K. Umberger- we don't have any town councils near us to look at, it is a foreign concept to most.

Damon Conway Daily Sun- can we number these options that you keep throwing them out. It is getting confusing using nick names.

394	
395	Quintin Lewis from center Conway- is the hearing going to have any structure to it? Are you
396	going to present anything on this? I'm not sure anyone else knows what these forms of govt
397	are. You guys don't even really know the forms of govt.
398	
399	T. Holmes- I think we can have a short presentation beforehand, then limit the comments to 3-
100	4 mins.
101	
102	R. Leavitt- under old business when we discussed moving a meeting to September 21 st when we
103	will not have a chair or a vice chair. If we don't have any pressing issues just to have the
104	meeting, then I suggest we cancel it.
105	
106	K. Umberger- we can cancel the meeting, but I do think we need to recognize that we need to
107	have more meetings.
108	
109	<u>Adjournment</u>
110	B. Wastley and the discount of the control of the second of the M. C. and a
411 442	D. Weathers motioned to adjourn the meeting; seconded by M. Guerrigue.
112	Vata in favor 8.0
113	Vote in favor 8-0
114 115	
+13	
116	Respectfully Submitted,
117	Alicia Jipson
118	Recording Secretary