1	CONWAY CHARTER COMMISSION AGENDA
2	CONWAY TOWN HALL MEETING ROOM
3	23 MAIN STREET, CONWAY NH
4	
5	
6	OCTOBER 19, 2023, 5:30 PM
7	
8	
9	The following members were present: Ray Leavitt, Mark Guerrigue, Eliza Grant, Karen
10	Umberger, S. Sand, David Weathers, and Thomas Holmes and Victoria Noel Blake (arrived at
11	5:49)
12	
13	Absent: Harrison Kanzler.
14	Pledge of Allegiance
	<del>-</del>
15	Those able stood for the pledge of allegiance.
16	Approval of Agenda
10	Approval of Agenda
17	T. Holmes- I'd like to amend the agenda to add the approval of the October $11^{ ext{th}}$ Minutes.
18	Vote in favor 7-0.
19	Charter Changes
20	K. Umberger motioned to approve section 3.16; seconded by M. Guerrigue.
20 21	Vote in favor 7-0
21	vote in juvoi 7-0
22	S. Sand- in 4.2 I'd like to add to the 2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence "but must be willing to live within a reasonable
23	distance and response time:
	distance and response time.
24	S. Sand motioned to add "but must be willing to live within a reasonable distance and
25	response time; seconded by E. Grant.
26	R. Leavitt- I think most people feel 30 miles is an appropriate distance. I'd like to make a motion
27	to amend it to be within 30 miles; seconded by K. Umberger.
28	S. Sand motioned to amend her motion to be "but must be willing to live within 30 miles";
29	seconded by R. Leavitt.
30	S. Sand- I think in 4.3 we should change it to 120 days not 90 to give more time.

- 31 R. Leavitt- why don't we consider making it until such time as a permanent manager is hired,
- 32 that gives you more flexibility.
- 33 S. Sand- by not setting a time limit, then you know you might just go along with the Assistant
- 34 Town Manager. It makes sure you have deadlines to make sure the interviews happen,
- 35 otherwise it could drag on for years.
- 36 R. Leavitt motioned that the sentence read that the "Assistant Town Manager shall serve at
- 37 the pleasure of the Council until such time as a permanent Manager is hired"; seconded by M.
- 38 Guerrique.
- 39 S. Sand- I want to make it clear that they're still the Assistant Manager and not automatically
- 40 the Town Manager.
- 41 K. Umberger- ok so it reads- shall appoint the Assistant Town Manager as acting Town Manager
- 42 to serve at the pleasure of the Council, until such time as a new Manager is hired.
- 43 M. Guerrigue- in the headers it says Town Manager but in the body, it says manager, we never
- referrer to the Town Manager as manger is there a reason why it is different?
- 45 T. Holmes- we can after have Karen add Town in from of Manager.
- 46 4.4- no suggestions or edits.
- 4.5- K. Umberger- I think this should be omitted as it is redundant as we have already appointed
- 48 an acting Town Manager.
- 49 T. Holmes- This section is talking about temporary incapacitated, where before was for
- 50 malfeasant. I think it still needs to be added in.
- 51 T. Holmes- line 4 should read the Town Council shall appoint the Assistant Manager as acting
- 52 Manager in the same manner as section 4.1.
- 53 4.7- no suggestions or edits.
- 54 4.8- no suggestions or edits.
- 55 4.9- no suggestions or edits.
- 56 4.10- we are going to change Deputy to Assistant.
- 57 4.11- no suggestions or edits.
- 58 4.12- no suggestions or edits.

- 59 S. Sand motioned to accept articles 4.1 to 4.12 as amended; seconded by D. Weathers.
- 60 *Vote in favor 8-0*
- 61 At 5:59 pm the Public Hearing opened.
- T. Holmes read the following statement:
- 63 Welcome to the second public hearing of the 2023 Conway Charter Commission.
- On April 11, 2023, the registered voters of Conway approved Article 35 which stated the
- 65 following: "To see if the Town will vote to establish a CHARTER COMMISSION for the purpose of
- revising or amending the Municipal Charter." The article passed 1,249 in favor to 258 opposed.
- On June 13, 2023, a special election was held to seat 9 members of the community to the newly
- 68 established Charter Commission. The Commission's first meeting was held on July 6th and was
- 69 called by the Town Clerk for the purpose of electing a chair, vice chair and secretary. The
- 70 Commission then began a process of familiarizing themselves with the myriad and complicated
- 71 statutes involved in revising and amending a municipal charter. The statutes can be found in
- 72 Sections 49 B, C and, D of the New Hampshire State Statutes. These statutes lay out the
- 73 procedure for developing and adopting a municipal charter. There are three main categories of
- 74 municipal charters and several sub-categories under each. A town can vote to become a city
- and can then consider one of two options: a city council form with a manager or an aldermanic
- 76 form with a mayor. Neither of these options provide for a deliberative session, voter input or a
- 77 Municipal Budget Committee. After some deliberation, the commission decided that it was not
- 78 yet time for Conway to become a city. The other two categories were a Town Council form of
- 79 government with three sub-categories and a Board of Selectmen with four sub-categories.
- 80 Under the Board of Selectmen category, one option is SB-2, which is our current system. It is
- 81 important to note that this option is still "on the table" as it is what we have now, and it is our
- 82 default should a different proposal fail at the ballot box. Of the other three, one is the
- 83 traditional town meeting which is what we used to have prior to SB-2. We concluded that there
- 84 was a reason why Conway moved on from it over twenty years ago. As such, we placed the
- 85 traditional town meeting aside to study the other two options. The commission considered
- 86 what is known as a "representative town meeting" where people are elected to represent
- 87 sections of town at a deliberative session. It is similar to the old town meeting form, but these
- representatives would be obligated to attend. Even though this option is available to all towns,
- 89 no town has yet to adopt it. When we looked into it, we realized that electing dozens of
- 90 representatives from amongst the diverse neighborhoods and areas of Conway did not seem
- 91 practical and for Conway, it would be a logistical nightmare. The final option under the Board of
- 92 Selectmen category is what is called an "official ballot town meeting". For reasons I'm about to
- explain, we opted to focus on an almost identical form of government under the Town Council
- 94 category. Of the Town Council forms we have the following: The "pure town council" form does
- 95 not allow for a budget committee, nor does it allow for a deliberative session or voter input.
- The Town Council is imbued with all the powers of a City Council and, as with the city options,
- 97 we concluded this is not a good fit for Conway at this time. Another Town Council option is the

98 "official budget/budgetary town meeting". This gives all the powers to the town council save 99 for an annual town meeting to deliberate and vote on the budget. The commission feels these 100 leaves too much power in too few hands and is also not a good fit for Conway at this time. 101 Which brings us to the "official ballot town council". Reviewing and adopting a new town charter is an infrequent event. Because of this, a Charter Commission must not only develop a 102 103 charter that meets the current needs of the community but also, as practicable as possible, 104 anticipate Conway's future needs. The Commission has come around to the idea that the governing body, it be Selectmen or Town Council, may have to grow along with the town. But 105 106 we discovered that the law limits a Board of Selectmen to a maximum of five members. Looking at Conway's growth spurts over the last thirty years, we recognize a need to provide the 107 community with some flexibility. The "official ballot town council" is pretty much the same as 108 109 the "official ballot town meeting" save that it will allow voters to expand its governing body when it deems desirable. This is why the commission had zeroed in on this particular option 110 111 over the "official ballot town meeting". At the same time, we recognize that too much change 112 too quickly may cause voters to view the proposal as an overreach and reject it. As of right now, we are working on and will likely recommend the "Official Ballot" option of a Town Council. This 113 114 is very similar to our current SB-2 form of government whereby Conway will still have a 115 deliberative session and voters will still be able to go to the polls and let their voices be heard. The Town Council may be able to act on certain issues such as non-profit petitions and capital 116 117 reserve funds and incorporate them into the budget for approval of the voters if they remain 118 relatively unchanged from year to year. The circumstances as to whether or not an expenditure will be sent to the voters will be clearly delineated in the new charter. Certain triggers such as 119 large increases in capital reserve accounts or non-profit requests will mandate voter approval. 120 This option still gives the voters the ultimate say in the budget, zoning articles and major 121 expenditures. It is hoped that fewer warrant articles will encourage voters to come to 122 123 deliberate the most important parts of the town's warrant without having to sit through hours 124 of "housekeeping" items that usually pass every year without debate. A shorter ballot may also increase voter turnout although there is really no incentive available to us statutorily to directly 125 126 achieve that goal.

- 127 T. Holmes opened the Public Hearing for public comment.
- John Colbeth- Stacy the RSA for Treasurer is under RSA 669:69. When you are all sone what is
- the warrant article going to look like? You've done a lot of work to revise the charter and the
- charter says council. What happens if it is voted done and all the work you have done?
- T. Holmes- in talking to the attorney that we hired, the Charter that goes before the voters
- cannot be amended at the deliberative session. It goes all onto the voters. If the charter fails at
- the polls, then we are back to square one SB2, and I am personally fine with that as we have
- gone through the old charter and found ways to modernize it.
- 135 S. Sand- the changes that we are presenting could also be presented as a list of suggestions to
- the Selectboard as revisions to the Charter. We would present it to the Selectmen to have them
- 137 hopefully revise.

- 138 Jim Umberger- I thank you for the effort you have out in this.
- 139 Mr. Hounsel- I apologize for a letter I sent that I shouldn't have. It's one thing to be snarky not
- 140 rude. You guys have done good work fast work and you are to be commended for that. I just
- don't agree with the work. I am against it. What's frustrating the most about the apathy isn't so
- much the voter turnout on voting day. It's the participation at the deliberative session. I was
- also hoping that you would be able to somehow incorporate the school. 73% of all the property
- taxes raised in Conway goes to the school. We can't solve the apathy issue because the
- 145 government can't make people care. I don't agree with the 7-member council. 7 members is
- going to insulate govt. from the people. I'm glad you rejected the representative form of
- 147 government. As far as managing growth, I don't think you put forth any real rational that how
- seven will improve the ability to manage growth. You don't have the checks and balance of an
- engaged public. Whatever it is I'm against it, it doesn't matter what it is or who commenced it,
- 150 I'm still against it. Your proposition may be good but let one thing be understood, whatever it is
- 151 I'm against it. A shift like this is too much.
- 152 Chairman Mary Seavey- I've been a Selectman since 2015, and I challenge you to tell me a time
- that the Selectmen have ever turned anyone away.
- 154 S. Sand- when I stopped my term as selectperson, I offered my services to guide a committee
- on the energy committee and was told that we didn't have time for that. I came before the
- Selectmen at a special meeting after my term was over ands asked and was told we don't have
- time for it. I also came before the Board with a healthcare report and again basically nothing
- was done. I wasted 3-4 months, and nobody would discuss it with me. So, I have personal
- experience, and I know others who have had that personal experience. I also know the Solid
- 160 Waste Committee has not met since pre covid and by statue its supposed to be meeting on a
- 161 regular basis.
- 162 With no further comments from the public T. Holmes called on the press.
- 163 Damon from Conway Daily Sun- in the section under citizen initiatives would that be giving
- people more opportunity during the year to make changes.
- 165 T. Holmes- we haven't discussed this as a commission. I believe it is written to allow the Town
- 166 Council to make certain decisions that can be petitioned throughout the year.
- 167 K. Umberger- these would be petitions to the Council they would make the decision whether to
- accept it or reject it. It would not go to the voters.
- 169 Damon- does having a town council with this process might expand the subject matter that
- they're able to address throughout the year?
- 171 T. Holmes-I can't answer that at this point.

- 172 M. Guerrigue- to Mark specifically, when I got involved and you and I were 2 people that got
- this going basically, there were 2 big goals eliminate the deliberative and to get control of the
- school budget, and neither have happened. So that's personally disappointing. The 2 small
- goals were to shorten the ballot which I think we've done, and to get better representation
- geographically, and we determined it's just impractical and difficult to do. I don't see the
- difference from 5-7 but I think with 7 you get a little bit more opportunity.
- 178 Mr. Hounsel- I was hoping we could somehow do away with the disappointment of the whole
- process of SB2 deliberative and we failed to do that. Anyone can show up and it's disappointing
- that more don't, going to 7 doesn't help. I think you should have term limits. I like to see the
- 181 young people coming in and serving.
- 182 T. Holmes- terms limits were ruled as unconstitutional.
- 183 Mr. Hounsel- what happens to the current charter is it completely replaced? does it go away
- 184 with Roberts Rules? This sounds like any rules can go.
- 185 K. Umberger- do you want the statement read into record?
- 186 R. Leavitt- yes it should be.
- 187 T. Holmes closed the public hearing and reconvened the regular meeting.
- 188 T. Holmes- I do believe it is time for Conway to go to a Town Council to allow for flexibility over
- the next 20 30 years. I was also concerned about not going to 7 members, that it was
- igeopardizing the chance that people would pass this proposal and also the logistics of doing it.
- 191 E. Grant- what of these changes that we are packaging in this new charter go with our old
- charter? Because I think my understanding talking to the lawyer was there are some things
- were talking about. My understanding there are things we can do that as part of SB2 were just
- 194 not doing it right now.
- 195 T. Holmes- because of the way that Selectmen Town Meeting SB2 form of government set up,
- non-profit petitions, the selectmen have no power whatsoever to keep them off the warrant.
- 197 You go to the town council they could do so with very careful delimited parameters to do so.
- 198 S. Sand motioned to accept 4.13 and 4.14 as amended; seconded by D. Weathers.
- 199 *Vote in favor 8-0*
- 200 5.0- no suggestions or edits.
- 201 5.1- no suggestions or edits.
- 202 5.2- no suggestions or edits.

- 5.3- no suggestions or edits.
- 204 5.4- no suggestions or edits.
- 205 5.5- holding for later discussion.
- 206 5.6- no suggestions or edits.
- 5.7- R. Leavitt motioned to change it to "10 years and it shall refer to and be influenced by the
- 208 Master Plan".
- 209 5.8- no suggestions or edits.
- 210 5.9- T. Holmes- I have one suggestion that any town insert the word official.
- 211 5.10- no suggestions or edits.
- 212 R. Leavitt motioned to accept Article 5 with the exception of 5.5, which is on hold; seconded
- 213 by S. Sand.
- 214 *Vote in favor 8-0*
- 215 6.1- no suggestions or edits.
- 216 6.2- no suggestions or edits.
- 217 6.3- no suggestions or edits.
- 218 Strike C and D
- 219 D. Weathers motioned to accept Article 6 as amended; seconded by E. Grant.
- 220 *Vote in favor 8-0*
- 7.1- S. Sand- I think we should move B one sentence to section 3.4 and add it at the end.
- 222 E. Grant I would also strike E if that is a concern.
- 7.2- no suggestions or edits.
- 7.3- no suggestions or edits.
- 7.4- no suggestions or edits.
- 7.5- no suggestions or edits.
- 7.6- no suggestions or edits.

228 7.7- no suggestions or edits. E. Grant motioned to accept Article 7 as amended; seconded by K. Umberger. 229 Vote in favor 8-0 230 231 **Set November Calendar** T. Holmes- Wednesdays in November are the 1<sup>st</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup>, 22<sup>nd</sup>, 29<sup>th</sup>. 232 233 **Old Business** 234 **New Business** 235 **Public Comments** 236 237 <u>Adjournment</u> 238 T. Holmes adjourned the meeting. 239 240 Respectfully Submitted, 241 242 243 Alicia Jipson 244 **Recording Secretary**